

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

7 TONEY ANTHONY WHITE,
8 Plaintiff,
9 v.
10 COUNTY OF CLARK NEVADA et al.,
11 Defendants.
12

2:16-cv-00734-RFB-VCF

ORDER

I. DISCUSSION

14 On September 29, 2016, this Court entered an order severing Plaintiffs Toney Anthony
15 White and Amanda Lea Sexton's cases from each other. (ECF No. 31 at 1-2). In that order,
16 the Court stated that Plaintiff White would proceed as the sole plaintiff in the instant case and
17 that Plaintiff Sexton would proceed as the sole plaintiff in the severed case,
18 2:16-cv-02289-RFB-VCF. (*Id.* at 4-5).

19 Plaintiffs now jointly file a motion for leave to file a third amended complaint and a
20 motion for issuance of USM-285 forms for service in the instant case. (ECF No. 33, 34). The
21 Court denies these motions. A review of the proposed third amended complaint demonstrates
22 that Plaintiffs are attempting to proceed jointly in the instant case by filing a joint complaint.
23 (See ECF No. 33-1). If Plaintiff White wants to submit a third amended complaint in this case,
24 he may do so by filing the appropriate motion and submitting an amended complaint that only
25 names himself as a plaintiff and provides allegations pertaining only to himself. The court will
26 not parse through any future filed amended complaints to determine which allegations pertain
27 only to Plaintiff White. Likewise, if Plaintiff Sexton wants to submit an amended complaint in
28 her severed case, she may submit an amended complaint that only provides allegations
pertaining to herself.

II. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, IT IS ORDERED that the motion for leave to file proposed third amended complaint (ECF No. 33) is denied.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the motion for issuance of USM-285 forms (ECF No. 34) is denied.

DATED: This 21st day of October, 2016.


Carl R. Strobel